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## Topics for Discussion

- Produced water volumes
- How is it managed?
- Reuse options
- Why are these options not being used more often?
- Terminology distinctions


## Most Current Detailed Produced Water Inventory for the U.S.

- Previous study done in 2009 looked at 2007 year
- The Ground Water Protection Council (GWPC) contracted with Veil Environmental to update a 2009 report using 2012 as the baseline year.
- Data were collected during the second half of 2014
- Report was published in April


Prepared for the Ground Water Protection Council


John Veil, Veil Environmental, LLC
Veil Environmental, LLC

April 2015 2015
http://www.veilenvironmental.com/publications/pw/prod_water_volume_2012.pdf

## Water Volume Table - Louisiana Data

| Type of Hydrocarbon | \# Wells Producing Primarily That Type of Hydrocarbon | Total Volume of Produced Water Brought to Surface (bы/year) | Volume of Hydrocarbon Produced (bblyear or Mmcf/year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crude oil from conventional formations | 19,235 | 927,634,655 | 82,111,159 bbl/yr |
| Natural gas from conventional formations | 16,572 |  | 1,277,149 Mmcf |
| Crude oil and natural gas from unconventional formations | 13 wells in Tuscaloosa Marine Shale (TMS) and 2,145 wells in Haynesville Shale (HS) |  | TMS - 251,461 bbl/yr oil and 142 Mmcf gas HS - 418,818 bbl/yr condensate and 2,069,724 Mmcf gas |
| Total | 37,965 | $927,634,655$ <br> (based on total water managed) | $82,781,438 \mathrm{bbl} / \mathrm{yr}$ crude oil (includes condensate) <br> 3,347,015 Mmcf |

## Water Management Table - Louisiana Data

| 囷 |
| :--- |
| Management Practice \# Wells Using That <br> Practice Total Volume of <br> Produced Water <br> Managed by That <br> Practice (bbl/year) Percentage of <br> Produced Water <br> Managed by That <br> Practice <br> Injection for enhanced <br> recovery 401 $31,336,098$ $3.4 \%$ <br> Injection for disposal 3,231 $857,417,339$ 0 <br> Surface discharge 0 0 $92.4 \%$ <br> Evaporation 0 0 0 <br> Offsite commercial <br> disposal 44 $38,880,938$ 0 <br> Beneficial reuse 4 (reuse of   <br> flowback)    |
| Total Volume Managed |

# Produced Water Volumes 

## Five Year Changes in Fluid Production

- Between 2007 and 2012
- U.S. oil production increased by $29 \%$
- U.S. gas production increased by $22 \%$
- U.S. water production increased by $<1 \%$
- 21.2 billion bbl vs. 21 billion bbl



## Putting Produced Water Volume into Perspective

## 21.2 billion $\mathrm{bbl} / \mathrm{yr}=2.44$ billion gal/day

1. U.S. population $=323$ million people

- 7.5 gals produced water/person/day

2. Niagara Falls average flow $=150,000 \mathrm{gal} / \mathrm{sec}$

- More than twice the amount of water that typically flows over the Niagara Falls each day is generated as produced water


3. Number of cans of beer or Coke at $12 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{can}$

- If all produced water were placed into cans, it would yield 26 billion cans/day

4. Cubic volume

- $\quad$ The Empire State Building has a volume of 37 million $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$
- $\quad$ The U.S. produced water would fill that volume nearly 9 times each day



## Top Ten States in 2012 Water Production

$\left.$| Ranking |  | State | 2012 Water <br> $(\mathrm{bbl} / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | | \% of Total |
| ---: |
| Water | \right\rvert\,

# Produced Water Management Practices 

## 2012 Produced Water Management Practices

- Water management follows similar trends to the 2007 data
- Nearly all water from onshore wells is injected
- Nearly all water from offshore wells is treated and discharged

|  | Injection for Enhanced Recovery (bbl/yr) | Injection for disposal (bbl/yr) | Surface discharge (bbl/yr) | Evaporation (bbl/yr) | Offsite Commercial Disposal (bbl/yr) | Beneficial Reuse (bbl/yr) | Total Prod Water Managed (bbl/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onshore Total | 9,225,152,000 | 7,947,716,000 | 605,129,000 | 691,142,000 | 1,373,131,000 | 125,737,000 | 19,968,007,000 |
| \% | 46.2 | 39.8 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 6.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 |
| Offshore Total | 62,703,000 | 62,703,000 | 515,916,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 641,322,000 |
| \% | 9.8 | 9.8 | 80.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. Total | 9,287,855,000 | 8,010,364,000 | 1,121,045,000 | 691,142,000 | 1,373,131,000 | 125,737,000 | 20,609,274,000 |
| \% | 45.1 | 38.9 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 6.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onshore Total | 10,676,530,000 | 7,144,071,000 | 139,002,000 | No data | No data | No data | 17,959,603,000 |
| \% | 59.4 | 39.8 | 0.8 | No data | No data | No data | 100.0 |
| Offshore Total | 48,673,000 | 1,298,000 | 537,381,000 | No data | No data | No data | 587,353,000 |
| \% | 8.3 | 0.2 | 91.5 | No data | No data | No data | 100.0 |
| U.S. Total | 10,725,203,000 | 7,145,369,000 | 676,383,000 | No data | No data | No data | 18,546,955,000 |
| \% | 57.8 | 38.5 | 3.6 | No data | No data | No data | 100.0 |

## States Reporting Volumes for Produced Water Reuse

| State | PW Reuse <br> Volume (bbl/yr) | How It Is Reused |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| AR | $2,000,000$ | Reused to make up new frac fluids |
| CA | $46,251,000$ | Not specified by the State. But there are some examples in the <br> literature. In the San Ardo field some of the pw is treated and <br> reused for cooling tower makeup water. The remaining water <br> undergoes further treatment to create water suitable to recharge a <br> shallow aquifer that was used in the area for crop irrigation. Up to <br> 50,000 barrels/day of brackish pw was transformed into freshwater <br> for agricultural reuse, which was enough to irrigate about 800 acres <br> of farmland per year. |
| CO | $47,648,000$ | Reused to make up new frac fluids |
| OH | 756,000 | 129,575 bbl were used for deicing and dust control on roads, and <br> 626,208 bbl were recycled to make new drilling fluids and frac <br> fluids. |
| PA | $29,082,000$ | Reused to make up new frac and drilling fluids |

- Several other states reported that they believed produced water was reused, but were uncertain about the volume and/or how it was actually used: KS, MI, NY, TX, UT, WV, WY


# Ways in Which Produced Water Can Be Reused 

## Options for Reuse of Produced Water

- Reuse in the oil and gas fields
- Produce more oil
- Use for drilling fluids and frac fluids
- Industrial use
- Roadway use
- Injection for future use
- Injection for hydrological purposes
- Agricultural use
- Drinking water and other domestic uses
- Secondary use


## Reuse for Producing More Oil

- Nearly half of all U.S. produced water is separated from the oil and gas at the surface and then reinjected back into an oil-bearing formation to help produce more oil
- This can be done using water (water flooding) or steam (steam flooding)
- SAGD and other steam processes are common in Canada
- Although this practice is not always viewed as beneficial reuse, it provides a valuable service to the industry and avoids injection of millions of barrels of surface and ground water to accomplish the same enhanced recovery activities
- I believe it is truly beneficial reuse and should be given credit as such
- In some parts of the country, a very large percentage of flowback water and produced water are given simple filtration or other treatment and then are reused to make up new drilling fluids and frac fluids
- Data in the table show management practices in the Pennsylvania portion of the Marcellus Shale during 2012
- 98\% of flowback
- 78\% of prod water


## Other Reuse in the Oil and Gas Fields

|  | Flowback | Prod Water | Total | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Centralized treatment for reuse | 1,398,438 | 2,131,496 | 3,529,934 | 13 |
| Injection - <br> disposal | 70,679 | 3,493,527 | 3,564,206 | 13 |
| Residual waste processing and reuse | 30,612 | 105,358 | 135,970 | 0.5 |
| Reuse other than roadspreading | 8,149,339 | 11,418,150 | 19,567,489 | 72 |
| Storage waiting for disposal or reuse | 63,981 | 256,948 | 320,929 | 1.2 |
| Landfill | 6,366 | 278 | 6,644 | <0.1 |
| Discharge | 105 | 105 | 210 | <0.1 |
| Roadspread | 425 | 425 | 850 | <0.1 |
| Total | 9,719,945 | 17,406,287 | 27,126,232 | 100 |

## Industrial Use

- Cooling water makeup
- Vehicle washwater
- Process water
- Other



## Roadway Use

- Dust control on unpaved roads
- Snow and ice control during winter storms
- Road stabilization



## Injection for Future Use

- Aquifer storage and recovery
- Inject treated produced water into shallow aquifer
- Withdraw it later as a water supply
- Example in Wellington, CO


## Injection for Hydrological Purposes

- Subsidence control
- Salt water intrusion
- Flow augmentation



## Agricultural Use

- Irrigation
- Livestock and wildlife watering
- Managed wetlands
- Reed beds in Oman


Source: USDA



Source: USFWS

## Drinking Water and Other Domestic Uses



## Secondary Use

- Geothermal power
- Feedstock for obtaining uncommon minerals and chemicals
- Lithium
- Rare earth metals


Source: DOE - Rocky Mountain Testing

# Why is Produced Water Not Reused More? 

## Barriers and Solutions to Overcome Them - Economics

- Barriers
- Salt removal is very expensive and is often necessary prior to reuse
- Water is heavy and expensive to move long distances
- Injection into disposal wells has been convenient, inexpensive, and accepted by regulators
- Little incentive to look at other water management options in many regions
- Solutions
- Continue development of cost-effective desalination approaches that can work dependably in harsh oil field environments
- Induced seismicity in a few regions has reflected negatively on disposal wells and created a new opportunity for beneficial use of produced water


## Barriers and Solutions to Overcome Them - Data Availability

- Barriers
- Most states do not collect quantitative data on actual reuse applications
- Few states provide publically available data on well-by-well produced water volumes
- Little is publically available about the chemical and physical characteristics of specific produced waters and how they vary over time
- Solutions
- Encourage more/better data collection with the opportunity to make information available to the public
- Look to applications like the Ground Water Protection Council's RBDMS (Risk-Based Data Management System) used by over 20 states and commercial data vendors for better data visualization
- Water Tracker module
- Initiate research efforts to collect more data on produced water quality


## Barriers and Solutions to Overcome Them - Social

- Barriers
- Some potential end users may not want to use produced water for agricultural applications
- The public may have concerns over treating produced water and reusing it for drinking water
- Solutions
- Develop and publish case examples to help educate potential consumers


## Barriers and Solutions to Overcome Them - Volume and Timing

- Barriers
- End users need water of specific quality, volume, and duration. Availability of produced water in sufficient volume may not meet the long-term needs of the end user
- Solutions
- Look at opportunities to share/combine water from multiple producers to ensure long-term needs of the end users
- May need to form consortia or clearinghouses to help with this
- Regulations may need to be revised to allow these interactions


## Barriers and Solutions to Overcome Them - Policy

- Barriers
- Water rights
- As long as produced water is a waste, water rights owner is not too concerned. But if produced water can be sold, water rights owner wants a cut of the fee.
- Liability
- Large oil and gas companies are worried about the risk of later lawsuits if produced water is sold or given to end users
- Solutions
- Try to educate lawmakers and staffers
- Look to establish third-party entities that can accept produced water and distribute it to end user (mitigates liability)
- Look to states that have developed innovative regulatory programs to allow and encourage beneficial use


## Meetings in Recent Months to Discuss Beneficial Use of Produced Water

- National Science Foundation Workshop on Food-Energy-Water Nexus Issues in Energy, Arlington, VA, December 7-8, 2015
- Ground Water Protection Council UIC Conference, Denver, CO USA, February 23-25, 2016
- National Academy of Sciences Roundtable, Beneficial Use of Produced \& Flowback Water: Innovations and Challenges, Washington, DC, May 25-26, 2016
- States First Initiative, Produced Water Forum, Oklahoma City, OK, August 17-18, 2016
- This meeting involved many persons and organizations interested in using produced water in place of other water sources
- The participants discussed and voted on the key issues and concerns -- the top five are listed on the next slide
- Ground Water Protection Council, Annual Forum, Orlando, FL, September 12-14, 2016


## Key Issues and Concerns Relating to PW Beneficial Use from August 2016 Meeting in Oklahoma City

- Standardized approaches to verify and test new water analysis and treatment technologies
- Comprehensive modeling methods to calculate and compare environmental impact, carbon intensity, risk, costs and other characteristics of using produced water when compared to other fresh and non-fresh water sources.
- Estimating the cost and environmental impact to use produced water relative to the use of other fresh and non-fresh water sources.
- Clarification of produced water ownership as it is treated and put to beneficial use.
- Clarification of liability as produced water is treated and put to beneficial use.


# Terminology Important Distinctions or Much Ado About Nothing 

How to Describe Using Produced Water for Another Purpose

- Are these terms different? If so, how?
- Water is treated vs. untreated before it is used
- Used within the industry vs. used outside the industry


## Recycle

## Reuse

Upcycle

Example Using Yogurt Containers


## Using Produced Water for Another Purpose (2)

## Reuse

## Use

- Produced water is a byproduct of oil and gas production
- It has not had any specific primary use before it is brought to the surface (with some possible exceptions)
- Water used for water flood that is later produced to the surface
- Used within the industry vs. used outside the industry
- Some people are concerned about how the choice of terms affects the applicability of the RCRA E\&P waste exemption or treatment under water rights

